

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/666,283	JUN ET AL.
	Examiner KIEU-OANH T. BUI	Art Unit 2611

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KIEU-OANH T. BUI

(3) _____

(2) CAROL DRUZBICK

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 June 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hoffert et al. (US Pat. No.5,903,892).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argued that the prior art does not disclose the video using semantic relation informations between segments of a multimedia stream on a video display as claim in claim 12. The arguments is persuasive and the Examiner will update search in the next office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable; if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



KRISTA BUI
Primary PATENT EXAMINER
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.